

**BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP**

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

2801 Hennepin Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55408  
Telephone: (612) 252-3330 Facsimile: (612) 825-6304  
www.beyercrlaw.com

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 21 2006

**FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

September 21, 2006

**RECEIVER:** EXAMINER LEMMA, Samson B.  
Group Art Unit 2132

**FAX #:** 1-571-273-8300 Central Fax

**SENDER:** Jonathan O. Scott, Reg. 39,364

Fax# (612) 825-6304  
Telephone: (612) 252-3330

**Pages Including Cover Sheet(s):** 9

In re application of: Faith et al.

Attorney Docket No.: VISAP065

Application No.: 10/085,641

Examiner: LEMMA, Samson B.

Filed: February 26, 2002

Group: 2132

Title: DISBTIBUTED QUANTUM  
ENCRYPTED PATTERN GENERATION AND  
SCORING

---

**MESSAGE:** Attached please find the following documents for filing in the  
above-referenced application:

- 1) Amendment Transmittal (1pg)
- 2) Reply B (7 gs) in response to the Office Action dated April 21, 2006 to which  
a response is due September 21, 2006, with a TWO-month extension of time.

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

SEP 21 2006

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Faith et al.


Attorney Docket No.: VISAP065

Application No.: 10/085,641

Examiner: LEMMA, Samson B.

Filed: February 26, 2002

Group: 2132


Title: DISBTIBUTED QUANTUM  
ENCRYPTED PATTERN GENERATION AND  
SCORING**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the U.S.  
Patent and Trademark Office, Central Facsimile Telephone number (571) 273-8300 on  
this day September 21, 2006 addressed to Examiner LEMMA, Samson B.  
Signed:  Ann Lowe**AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL**Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application. The fee has been  
calculated as shown below.

	Claims After Amendment		Highest Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Small Entity Rate Fee	Large Entity Rate Fee
Total Claims	13	MINUS	20	0	x 25 =	x 50 =
Independent Claims	2	MINUS	3	0	x 100 =	1 x 200 =
Multiple Dependent Claim Present and Fee Not Previously Paid						
Total					\$0	

- ☒ Applicant(s) hereby petition for a two-month extension(s) of time to respond to the  
aforementioned Office Action.
- ☒ Applicant(s) believe that no (additional) Extension of Time is required; however, if it is  
determined that such an extension is required, Applicant(s) hereby petition that such an extension  
be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time  
under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 500388.
- ☐ Enclosed is our Check No. \_\_\_\_\_ in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ to cover the additional  
claim fee and/or extension of time fees.
- ☒ Please charge the required fee, and any additional fee(s) required to facilitate filing the  
enclosed response, to Deposit Account No. 500388 (Order No. VISAP065).

Respectfully submitted,  
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP  
Jonathan O. Scott  
Reg. No. 39,364P.O. Box 70250  
Oakland, CA 94612-0250

SEP 21 2006

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re application of: Faith et al.

Attorney Docket No.: VISAP065

Application No.: 10/085,641

Examiner: LEMMA, Samson B.

Filed: February 26, 2002

Group: 2132

Title: DISBTIBUTED QUANTUM  
ENCRYPTED PATTERN GENERATION AND  
SCORING

---

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the U.S.  
Patent and Trademark Office, Central Facsimile Telephone number (571) 273-8300 on  
this day September 21, 2006 addressed to Examiner LEMMA, Samson B.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Ann Lowe

**REPLY B**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the office action mailed April 21, 2006, to which a response is due  
September 21, 2006, with a two-month extension of time, please enter the following remarks:

**Request for Reconsideration of Finality of Previous Office Action under MPEP 706.07 (d)**

The undersigned respectfully reminds the Examiner of the conversation on October 24, 2005 in which the undersigned pointed out that although the preliminary amendment dated October 11, 2005 was mailed before the first office action on October 14, 2005, the two documents crossed in the mails. The Examiner suggested filing the preliminary amendment again in response to this first action and suggested that the next action would not be final. On January 10, 2006 the undersigned telephoned the Examiner and left a message reminding the Examiner not to send a final action.

Unfortunately, the action mailed April 21, 2006 was made final. Our associate, Mr. Rupak Nag, spoke with the Examiner on July 20, 2006 and requested that this final office action be made nonfinal. The Examiner indicated that he was willing to make the action nonfinal but that it was necessary to speak with his supervisor, Examiner Barron. Mr. Rupak Nag left a message to that effect with Examiner Barron but received no response.

The undersigned notes that the PAIR system indicates that in fact the preliminary amendment was received on October 11, 2005 but that the first office action was not mailed out until October 14, 2005. Based upon this information alone, Applicant submits that the very first office action should have considered the claims of the preliminary amendment (rather than the claims as filed), and thus the final office action should never have been made final.

Applicant requests that the office action mailed April 21, 2006 was improperly made a final office action and requests that that action be made nonfinal.